

क्रमांक 318-ज(I)-80/11918.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के अनुसार सौंपे गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल निम्नलिखित व्यक्तियों को वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जारीर उनके नाम के सामने दी गई फसल तथा राशि एवं सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहृष्ट प्रदान करते हैं:—

क्रमांक	ज़िला	जारीर पाने वाले का नाम	गांव व पता	तहसील	फसल/वर्ष जब से जारीर दी गई	वार्षिक राशि
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	मध्याला	श्री मोहर सिंह, पुत्र श्री तरलोक सिंह	कुलडपुर	नारायणगढ़	रबी, 1973 से खरीफ, 1979 तक	150
2	„	श्री बन्ता सिंह, पुत्र श्री काला सिंह	ब्रह्मन माजरा	„	रबी, 1976 से खरीफ, 1979 तक	300
					रबी, 1980 से	150
					रबी, 1980 से	300

क्रमांक 1981-ज(II)-79/11996.—श्री मोलड सिंह, पुत्र श्री दिलसुख, गांव शाड़ी, तहसील शज्जर, ज़िला रोहतक, की दिनांक 23 जुलाई, 1972, को हुई मृत्यु के परिणामस्वरूप हरियाणा के राज्यपाल पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के अधीन प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्री मोलड सिंह को मुब्लिंग 150 रुपये वार्षिक की जारीर जो उसे पंजाब/हरियाणा सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक नं० 204-र-(4)-66/906, दिनांक 1 अप्रैल, 1967 तथा अधिसूचना क्रमांक 5041-आर-III-70/29505, दिनांक 8 दिसम्बर, 1970 द्वारा मंजूर की गई थी, अब उसकी विधवा श्रीमती गनगोरी के नाम खरीफ, 1979 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रबी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक की दर से सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अन्तर्गत सहृष्ट प्रदान करते हैं।

दिनांक 11 अप्रैल, 1980

क्रमांक 296-ज(I)-80/13166.—श्री चन्दा राम, पुत्र श्री गंगा सहाय, गांव बिठन, तहसील लोहड़ा, ज़िला मिवानी, की दिनांक 13 मई, 1979 को हुई मृत्यु के परिणामस्वरूप हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के अधीन प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्री चन्दा राम की मुब्लिंग 150 रुपये वार्षिक की जारीर जो उसे हरियाणा सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक 1714-ज-(I)-76/25967, दिनांक 13 अक्टूबर, 1977 द्वारा मंजूर की गई थी, अब उसकी विधवा श्रीमती गनगोरी के नाम खरीफ, 1979 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रबी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक की दर से सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अन्तर्गत सहृष्ट प्रदान करते हैं।

क्रमांक 320-ज(I)-80/13170.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार, अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री देवी राम दिता, पुत्र श्री सचेत सिंह, गांव विलखनी, तहसील व ज़िला मध्याला को रबी, 1973 से खरीफ 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रबी 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जारीर सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहृष्ट प्रदान करते हैं।

क्रमांक 295-ज(I)-80/13174.—श्री राक स्वरूप, पुत्र श्री पत राम, गांव कुड़लाना, तहसील लोहड़ा, ज़िला मिवानी की दिनांक 31 जुलाई, 1979, को हुई मृत्यु के परिणामस्वरूप हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 4 एवं 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के अग्रोत प्रधार से गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग हुए हैं श्री राम स्वरूप 150 रुपये

वाषिक की जागीर जो उसे हरियाणा सरकार की अधिसूचना क्रमांक 3117-ज-(I)-75/2388, दिनांक 23 जनवरी, 1976, द्वारा मंजूर की गई थी, अब उसकी विधवा श्रीमती निम्नों के नाम रवी, 1979 से 300 सप्ते वाषिक की दर से सनद में वी गई शर्तों के अन्तर्गत सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

रघुनाथ जोशी,
विशेष कार्य अधिकारी, हरियाणा सरकार,
राजस्व विभाग।

Copy of letter No. 17(4)-78-DWI/P. IV, dated 18th March, 1980 from I. P. Kapila, Joint Secretary to Government of India, Ministry of Energy and Irrigation (Department of Irrigation), New Delhi addressed to the Manager, Government of India Press, Mayapuri Ring Road, New Delhi.

RESOLUTION

The following amendment is made to the erstwhile Ministry of Irrigation and Power's Resolution No. 72/1/71-D.W. I, dated the 27th November, 1971 (as amended from time to time) regarding constitution of the Mahi Control Board :—

In para 34, the following may be substituted for the existing entry at S. No. (i), (vi) and (vii):

(i) Union Minister-in-charge of Irrigation ..	Chairman
(ii) Secretary, Department of Irrigation, Government of India or his nominee ..	Member
(iii) Financial Adviser, Department of Irrigation, Government of India ..	Member

ORDER

Ordered that this Resolution be communicated to all the State Governments, the several Ministries of the Government of India, the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India, Prime Minister's Office, Secretary to the President and Planning Commission.

Ordered that the Resolution be published in the Gazette of India and that State Governments be requested to publish it in the State Gazettes, for general information.

(Sd.) . . .

Superintendent, Irrigation Works,
for Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Irrigation Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH

The 17th April, 1980

No. 11/4-B&R(Estt)-6-80.—On attaining the age of superannuation, i.e. 58 years Shri D. N. Gulati, Superintending Engineer, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch retired from service with effect from 31st March, 1980 (afternoon).

H. V. GOSWAMI,
Commr. & Secy.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 9th April, 1980

No. 11(112)-80-3Lab/5147.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Haryana Agro Industries Corporation Limited, Chandigarh

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 199 of 1979

SHRI DESH RAJ, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. HARYANA AGRO INDUSTRIES CORPORATION LIMITED, CHANDIGARH

Present.

Shri Tek Chand, for the workman.

Shri R. P. Aggarwal, for the respondent management.

AWARD

1. By order No. JD/HSR/32-79/48614, dated 16th November, 1979, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Haryana Agro Industries Corporation, Limited, Chandigarh and its workman Shri Desh Raj to this Court, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 16 of the Industrial disputes Act, 1947: -

Whether the termination of services of Shri Desh Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of order of reference, usual notices were issued to the parties. The parties put in their appearance before me on 14th January, 1980. The workman filed his claim statement and the case was adjourned for 6th February, 1980 for filing the written statement. On 6th February, 1980 the parties arrived at mutual amicable settlement and the statements were recorded as under: -

Statement of Shri R. P. Aggarwal, authorised representative of the management :

"The management agreed to reinstate the workman without back wages and with continuity of service. The intervening period shall be treated as leave without pay. If the management fails to reinstate the workman within 9 days upto 15th February, 1980, the workmen shall be entitled to his wages starting from 16th February, 1980."

Statement of Shri Tek Chand Gupta authorised representative of the workman : -

"I have heard the statement of the authorised representative of the management and admit the same as correct. I further bind myself/workmen that after the receipt of order for reinstatement, I shall be joining my duties within a week and if I fail to do so, I shall lose my right of reinstatement. The reinstatement order shall be sent to the authorised representative of the workman as well as the workman under regd. A. D. and service of either of them will be treated as due service and the award be made accordingly."

In view of the above statements of the parties whereby the management agreed to reinstate the workman without back wages but with continuity of service and the intervening period shall be treated as leave without pay. I therefore decide accordingly that the workman is entitled to reinstatement with effect from 15th February, 1980 with continuity of service without back wages. The management shall send the order for reinstatement of the workman under registered A. D. to the workman as well as to the authorised representative and the service of same on either of them will be treated as due service and if on receipt of the order of reinstatement the workman does not join his duty within a week, he shall forfeit his right of reinstatement. I answer the reference while returning the same in these terms.

Dated the 19th February, 1980.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 773, dated 25th March, 1980

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Dispute Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-80-3Lab/5148.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s S. P. Timber, Yamuna Nagar:—

**BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK**

Reference No. 44 of 1978

SHRI JITAN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S S. P. TIMBER, YAMUNA NAGAR
Present:—

Shri Balbir Singh, for the workman.

Shri W. C. Sharma, for the respondent management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/Amb/7-78/9554, dated 3rd March, 1978 of the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. S. P. Timber, Yamuna Nagar and its workman Shri Jitan to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause(c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Jitan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the order of reference, notices as usual were sent to the parties. The parties put in their appearance. The workman did not file his claim statement and prays that his demand notice be treated as his statement of claim. The management filed their written statement. The workman did not file the rejoinder and the following issue were framed on the pleadings of the parties : -

1. Whether there was relationship of Master and servant between the parties at the relevant period ?
2. Whether the workman is estopped from raising the dispute by his conduct ?
3. Whether the termination of service of the workman was justified and in order ?
4. If not to what relief is he entitled ?

The case was to come up for evidence of the management, after several adjournments for the evidence of the management at last on 27th February, 1980 the parties arrived at a mutual amicable settlement. The statements of authorised representatives were recorded as under :—

Statement of Shri W. C. Sharma, Authorised representative of the management :—

The matter in dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. The management has paid a sum of Rs. 350/- through Cheque No. 84710, dated 27th February, 1980 drawn on Central Bank of India, Yamuna Nagar to the workman in full and final settlement of all his claim including reinstatement or re-employment.”

Statement of Shri Balbir Singh, Authorised representative of the workman :

“I have heard the statement of the representative of the management to which I agree. The award may be made accordingly.”

In view of the aforesaid statements of the authorised representatives. There is no dispute left requiring adjudication. I therefore make no dispute award and answer the reference while returning the same in terms.

Dated, the 29th February, 1980.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 744, dated, 25th March, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment, Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3 Lab-80/5149.—In pursuance of the provision of section-17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act, No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Notified Area Committee, Sadhaura.

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 345 of 1978

between

SHRI JASWANT RAI, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S NOTIFIED AREA
COMMITTEE, SADHAURA

Present:

Shri Jagdish Sahey for the workman.

Shri Subhash Chander for the respondent management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/Amb./89-78/55681, dated 14th February, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Notified Area Committee Sadhaura, District Ambala and its workmen Shri Jaswant Rai to this Court for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Jaswant Rai was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled.

On receipt of order of reference, notices as usual were sent to the parties. In response to the notices of references the parties put in their appearance. The workman filed his claim of statement. The management was directed to file his written statement on 26th November 1979, the copy of the same to be supplied to the workmen within 20 days to enable the workmen to file the rejoinder on the same day. But in the intervening period the respondent Committee was abolished by the Haryana Government,—*vide* notification No. 13/4/79-2-0-II, dated 18th April, 1979 in place of which Municipal Committee, Sadhaura was constituted. The workman was directed to get the necessary amendment in respect of the respondent made in the reference. The copy of the amended reference was received by the Court and amended claim statement was filed by the workman copy of which was given to the management on 29th November, 1979 and the case was to be taken on 20th December, 1979 for filing the written statement. The statement of the authorised representative of the parties were recorded as under :—

Statement of Shri Jagdish Sahey, authorised rep. of the workman :—

"The management has agreed to reinstate the workman with continuity of service but without wages to his original post. The award may be made accordingly."

Statement of Shri Subhash Chander, authorised representative of the management. :—

"I have heard the above made statement to which I agree".

In view of the above made statement of the authorised representative, he is entitled to reinstatement with continuity of service, on his original post but without back wages, I accordingly answer the reference while returning the same in these terms.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Dated the 19th February, 1980.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endst. No. 776, dated the 25th March, 1980

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court,
Rohtak.